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Abstract

A 68-year-old female presented with a 2-week history of jaundice, generalized itching and weight loss in 2006. On physical examination, she was deeply jaundiced; however, other clinical examinations were completely unremarkable. Liver function tests (LFTs) were deranged: serum alkaline phosphatase 407 U/L (35 - 104), serum aspartate transaminase 57 U/L (0 - 31), serum alanine transaminase 184 U/L (0 - 37), and serum total bilirubin 4.3 mg/dL (0 - 1). Abdominal CT revealed a 4.8 cm × 3.5 cm mass in the pancreatic head. ERCP revealed a high-grade stricture in the distal CBD. An internal biliary stent was placed in to the CBD stricture. Patient’s symptoms relieved after biliary stenting. Serum CA 19-9 was elevated at 1,568 U/mL (0 - 37). Due to high suspicion for pancreatic cancer, patient underwent pylorus-sparing pancreaticoduodenectomy. Histopathology was benign and showed perilobular lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate, periductal fibrosis and phlebitis that was consistent with autoimmune pancreatitis. Patient was started on oral prednisone that was eventually replaced with azathioprine. Serum IgG4 was 53 mg/dL (1 - 291) after starting steroid treatment.
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Introduction

Autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) is a form of chronic pancreatitis of autoimmune etiology that is increasingly encountered in the last decade. Although first described by Sarles et al [1] in 1961 as ‘Chronic inflammatory sclerosis of the pancreas’, it was first termed as ‘Autoimmune pancreatitis’ by Yoshita et al [2] in 1995. It rarely presents as a focal mass lesion in the pancreas, which can be commonly mistaken for a pancreatic cancer (PC). The following case describes an AIP patient who presented with clinical symptoms closely mimicking PC. We also discuss the potential scenarios of misdiagnosis of AIP and review the literature.

Case Report

A 68-year-old female with no significant past medical history presented with a 2-week history of jaundice and generalized itching in May 2006. She had lost about 15 lbs. She denied abdominal pain. She was recently diagnosed with type-2 diabetes mellitus (DM). She denied history of jaundice in the past. Family history was significant for Crohn’s colitis in her brother. She denied alcohol abuse. On physical examination, she was deeply jaundiced; however, other clinical examinations were completely unremarkable. There were no stigmata of chronic liver disease. Liver function tests (LFTs) were deranged: serum alkaline phosphatase 407 U/L (35 - 104), serum aspartate transaminase 57 U/L (0 - 31), serum alanine transaminase 184 U/L (0 - 37), and serum total bilirubin 4.3 mg/dL (0 - 1). Abdominal computed tomography (CT) revealed a 4.8 cm × 3.5 cm mass in the pancreatic head with secondary obstruction and dilation of the common bile duct (CBD), and intrahepatic ductal dilatation (Fig. 1). Endoscopic

Figure 1. Computed tomography of abdomen shows a mass (arrow) in the head of pancreas.
Retrograde cholangiopancreaticography (ERCP) revealed a high-grade stricture in the distal CBD, measuring 2 - 2.5 inches and proximal CBD dilation (Fig. 2). A 7-French 9 cm long plastic internal biliary stent was placed in to the CBD stricture (Fig. 3). Patient’s symptoms i.e., jaundice and pruritus relieved after biliary stenting. Brushings obtained from CBD stricture during ERCP was non-conclusive. Serum CA 19-9 was elevated at 1,568 U/mL (0 - 37); alpha-feto protein was 1.85 ng/mL (< 8.7); and carcinoembryonic antigen was 0.6 ng/mL (0 - 3). Due to high suspicion for PC due to markedly elevated serum CA-19-9 levels, patient underwent pylorus sparing pancreaticoduodenectomy (Whipple’s procedure) at a tertiary care center. Intraoperative findings included a diffusely firm pancreas with a significant enlargement of the head of pancreas and peripancreatic inflammation. She had an uneventful postoperative recovery. Histopathology was benign and showed peribulbar lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate, periductal fibrosis and phlebitis that was consistent with AIP. Immunohistochemical staining was positive for lymphocyte markers. Patient was started on oral prednisone that was eventually replaced with azathioprine. Serum IgG4 was 53 mg/dL (1 - 291) after starting steroid treatment. Liver biopsy was performed to rule out autoimmune hepatitis due to persistently elevated liver enzymes. Biopsy revealed mild neutrophilic infiltration of the bile duct epithelium and mild expansion of the portal tracts. Bile ductules were normal and there was no evidence of lobular inflammation, which ruled out autoimmune hepatitis. Several weeks after surgery and initiation of steroid therapy, LFTs returned to normal and the patient remained clinically stable.

Discussion

Although first described in 1961, the concept of AIP was strengthened in 2001 when Hamano et al. reported that serum IgG4 levels are specifically elevated in Japanese patients with AIP, which was later confirmed in Western and other Eastern countries [3-5]. Although AIP is considered more prevalent in Japan and other Asian countries; it is being increasingly diagnosed among western population in the last few years. This could be probably secondary to the increasing awareness and proposed diagnostic criteria. Approximately 3-5% of patients with AIP undergo pancreatic resection for presumed PC [6]. This subset of patients typically presents with clinical manifestations closely mimicking pancreatic cancer, as was seen in our patient.

Two different types of AIP are recently identified- type 1 or lymphoplasmacytic sclerosing pancreatitis (LPSP) and type 2 or idiopathic duct centric pancreatitis (IDCP) or granulocyte epithelial lesion (GEL) [7]. Type 1 is the classic form, which is associated with elevated serum IgG4 levels and tissue infiltration with IgG4+ plasma cells [8]. Type 2 is related to the neutrophilic infiltration to the pancreatic duct epithelium (GEL), and is not related to the IgG4 [9]. Type 1 is more predominant than type 2 and the exact prevalence of the latter is still not known. Hence, this review will only focus on type 1 AIP, which was diagnosed in our patient based on the histopathology.

The common clinical manifestations of AIP include midabdominal pain, obstructive jaundice, DM, anorexia and weight loss [10]. Less frequently, a persistent pancreatic mass, which is often confused with PC, as was seen in our patient, may be present. Common extrapancreatic manifestations include inflammatory bowel disease (primarily ulcerative colitis); lung nodules; retroperitoneal fibrosis; bile duct strictures; and other associated autoimmune conditions like autoimmune thyroiditis, autoimmune sclerosing cholangitis and Sjogren’s syndrome [11]. Although the most common pancreatic manifestations of AIP are also typically seen in
Table 1. Summary of Previously Reported Cases of AIP Mimicking PC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year of report)</th>
<th>Patient Age/Gender</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Associated medical conditions</th>
<th>Principal diagnostic tests</th>
<th>Surgery/ Steroids</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efeovbokhan et al 2011 [18]</td>
<td>31/Male</td>
<td>Epigastric pain, Jaundice, Weight loss</td>
<td>DM, Ulcerative colitis</td>
<td>Raised IgG4, ERCP and EUS</td>
<td>Steroids only</td>
<td>Responded to steroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lo RS et al 2011 [19]</td>
<td>51/Male</td>
<td>Jaundice, Itching, Abdominal discomfort, Weight loss</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Raised IgG4, EUS, ERCP &amp; Percutaneous transhepatic cholangiogram</td>
<td>Steroids only</td>
<td>Responded to steroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsumoto et al 2011 [20]</td>
<td>79/Male</td>
<td>Epigastric pain</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>EUS-FNA, Raised IgG4, Histopathology of pancreatic tissue (surgery)</td>
<td>Surgery only (Distal pancreatectomy)</td>
<td>Responded to surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case, 2012</td>
<td>68/Female</td>
<td>Jaundice, Itching, Weight loss</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>ERCP, Histopathology of pancreatic tissue (surgery)</td>
<td>Surgery (Pancreaticoduodenectomy) and Steroids (later switched to Azathioprine)</td>
<td>Responded to surgery and steroids</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
patients with PC, however, extrapancreatic manifestations of AIP are less common in PC. A search on "pubmed" revealed few case reports of AIP mimicking PC. Table 1 summarizes all the previously reported cases of AIP mimicking PC over the last decade.

Serum IgG4 levels are significant when they are twice the upper limit of normal (> 280 mg/dL) as it is 99% specific for AIP at this level [11]. Frulloni L et al reported a novel serologic marker of AIP, antibodies against plasminogen-binding protein (Anti-PBP) peptide, which was present in 94% of AIP patients and in no health controls. However, in this study, these Anti-PBP peptide antibodies were also present in 5% of the patients with PC [12]. The most significant finding on an ERCP or magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) includes a diffuse, irregular narrowing of the pancreatic duct; a narrowed main and dorsal pancreatic duct, or a focal stricture of the pancreatic duct [13]. The characteristic findings on CT scan, ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) include diffuse or focal pancreatic enlargement, peripancreatic capsule-like rim, enhancement at the late phase of contrast-enhanced images, and abnormal signal intensity on the MRI [14]. Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) may provide supportive evidence. The predominant histological feature of type-1 AIP is infiltration of the periductal space with plasma cells, T-lymphocytes and obliterative phlebitis [15], which was seen in the histopathology of the pancreatic tissue of our patient.

The most widely accepted criteria for diagnosis of AIP include HISORt criteria and Asian diagnostic criteria. HISORt criteria comprise five cardinal features: Histology, Imaging, Serology, Other organ involvement and Response to corticosteroid therapy [21]. Asian criteria comprises of imaging, serological and histopathology of the pancreatic biopsy lesion [22]. Both HISORt and Asian criteria depend on histology, serology and imaging studies for the diagnosis of AIP. ERCP is mandated in the Asian criteria, but not in HISORt criteria. About 10% of patients with PC also have elevated IgG4 levels, which sometimes limits the use of this test to differentiate AIP and PC [23]. At times, serum IgG4 can be normal even in the presence of classic histologic features and tissue IgG4 staining [23]. AIP exquisitely responds to corticosteroid therapy and, as mentioned above, this response to corticosteroids is one of the HISORt criteria for the diagnosis of AIP. Steroid therapy may hasten recovery, decreases complications and delays relapses [24]. A trial of corticosteroids may be helpful in differentiating seronegative AIP from pancreatic cancer depending on the response of ductal dilation to steroids, 2 weeks after starting the therapy [25].

In patients with PC, transient symptom relief may occur during steroid trial when the inflammation surrounding the tumor resolves with steroids. An imaging study must be performed towards the end of steroid trial to confirm the resolution of pancreatic mass. The dosage, duration and taper regimens of AIP may vary with each patient. The standard recommended starting dose of prednisone is 30 - 40 mg/day (0.6 mg/kg/day) [26]. CT scan and serological tests can be performed for follow up of resolution of AIP while on steroid therapy.

Our case emphasizes that AIP should always be included in the differential diagnosis of a pancreatic mass and the relevant diagnostic work up should be performed. Diagnostic criteria such as HISORt and Asian criteria should be promptly used to help make a clinical diagnosis of AIP, distinguish it from PC, and prevent unnecessary surgery or delayed initiation of steroid therapy. Our patient was diagnosed with AIP by a surgical biopsy specimen in 2006, i.e. prior to the development of the HISORt and Asian diagnostic criteria. In patients with a high level of clinical suspicion for PC, but without a preoperative tissue diagnosis of malignancy, resection is still justifiable, offering the only hope for cure.
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