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Abstract

Pituitary adenoma, removed surgically from a 22 old young man with normal serum growth hormone level, and no evidence of acromegaly by histology, immunohistochemistry and electron microscopy was studied. Ultrastructurally the tumor cells were shown to correspond to sparsely granulated somatotrophs and immunohistochemistry showed no, moderate, or little growth hormone. Clinically silent somatotroph adenomas so far are unresolved entities; although electron microscopy shows that they are consisted of sparsely granulated somatotrophs they do not secrete substantial amounts to raise growth hormone and IGF-1 blood levels. Further work is required to clarify the mechanisms accounting for the clinical and biochemical silence of these tumors.
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Introduction

Somatotroph adenomas (GH producing adenomas, somatotrophinomas) are typically secreting GH excessively and cause the clinical syndrome of acromegaly or in the prepubertal age: gigantism. This recognition not only identifies a sellar mass as a GH producing adenoma but expands the therapeutic alternatives. Sporadic reports in the relevant literature also describe “silent somatotroph adenomas” referring to adenomas that can be recognized as somatotroph adenomas by positive immunohistochemical staining for GH, but are not associated with clinical evidence of GH excess. Some of these adenomas are entirely silent, in that not associated with either clinical manifestations of GH excess or elevated serum concentrations of GH and IGF-1. Others are “clinically silent”; in terms of elevated GH and IGF1 serum concentrations but not accompanied by clinical manifestations of GH excess [2, 6-14]. The objective of this study was the data extracted in terms of histology, immunohistochemistry and electron microscopy of a silent somatotroph adenoma. Therefore, we reviewed clinical records and had the detailed analysis of the relevant morphologic information including immunohistochemistry and electron microscopy.

Case Report

A 22-year-old man presented in January 2011. 6 months before he started to have poor concentration, headache and recently he noticed visual acuity diminution. At physical examination there was right homonymous hemianopsia. Blood hormone levels were as follows: Growth hormone 0.5 ng/ml (normal < 5.0); insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1) 274.9 ng/ml (48 - 255); prolactin 6.5 ng/ml (0 - 15); luteinizing hormone (LH) 2.5 mUI/ml (2 - 12); follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) 1.7 mUI/ml (1 - 8); thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) 3.0 mUI/ml (0.5 - 6.0), free thyroxin (T4) 1.0 ng/dl (0.8 - 1.8), and cortisol 14.9 mcg/ml (5 – 25). MRI disclosed a large tumor (6 cm × 6 cm) which occupied the sella, with invasion to the sphenoid sinus, left cavernous sinus and extension to the temporal lobe and suprasellar area,
approximately 6 cm ×4 cm (Fig. 1 A, B, C). There was hydrocephalus due to obstruction of the Foramen of Monro. He underwent a biventricular peritoneal shunt on February 7, 2011 and transsphenoidal surgery on February 22, 2011. Resection of the tumor in the sella was performed (Fig. 1 D, E, F). At the immediate post operative period he presented with a seizure. CT disclosed obstructive hydrocephalus and subarachnoid hemorrhage. Cerebral angiography did not show vascular lesion and a VP shunt revision was done. He presented with right hemiparesis and aphasia probably due to vasospasm that improved during the following days. At 10th postoperative day he complained of pain in the right leg. Right deep venous thrombosis was documented and an inferior cava filter was placed without complications. Postoperative MRI disclosed adequate resection of the tumor in the sella. After the pathology report of silent somatotroph adenoma an Octreoscan was performed that was positive (Fig. 1G, H, I). He started Octreotide 30 mg/month on April 2011. The last Octreoscan showed performed 3 months later showed 20% shrinkage in tumor size (Fig. 1J, K, L).

Materials and methods

All tissue samples were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, routinely processed, paraffin embedded cut to 5 µm, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and PAS. For immuno-histochemistry the streptavidin-biotin-peroxidase complex method was used and antisera were directed against GH,
PRL, ACTH, TSH, LH, FSH, as well as the alpha-SU of glycoprotein hormones. Methods of immunostaining, antibody sources and dilations have previously been described [15].

Electron microscopy had also been performed for diagnostic purposes. For electron microscopy, tissues were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde, postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide, dehydrated in graded series of ethanol, processed through propylene oxide, and embedded in an Epon-araldite mixture. Ultrathin sections were stained with lead citrate and uranyl acetate and studied with a Hitachi 7650 electron microscope.

Results

Histologic and immunohistochemical findings

By light microscopy, a chromophobic PAS negative pituitary adenoma was identified. The tumor was diffusely arranged. No major cellular and nuclear pleomorphism was seen and mitotic figures were not obvious (Fig. 2). Immunostainings demonstrated immunopositivity for GH in several unevenly distributed adenoma cells (Fig. 3) and for PRL in occasional adenoma cells. Immunostaining were negative for ACTH, TSH, FSH, LH and alpha subunit. The Ki-67 nuclear labeling index using the MIB1 antibodies was estimated at 1%. Many tumor cell nuclei were immunopositive for MGMT, a DNA repair enzyme.

Ultrastructural findings

Electron microscopy documented a variably cellular adenoma comprising closely apposed or partially connected small to midsized rounded cells. The roughly spherical nuclei had large dense nucleolus and very little fine granular
heterochromatin. The cytoplasm contained well developed RER, less than abundant free polyribosomes, numerous small spherical mitochondria, and in many cells, a sizeable fibrous body consisting of a mixture of SER and cytokeratin filaments (Fig. 4). An obvious abnormality was the scarcity of the lean-looking inactive Golgi membranes usually noticeable only in cells without fibrous body (Fig. 5). The peripherally located sparse minute secretory granules measure no more than 71 to 126 nm (mean: 87 nm).

Discussion

Somatotroph adenomas in which there is laboratory and immunohistochemical evidence of GH excess in the absence of clinical manifestations of acromegaly were first reported in 1985 [6]. It was observed that two women who had no clinical features of acromegaly but did have non-suppressible serum GH concentrations had pituitary adenoma that, after excision, showed immunohistochemical staining for GH. The term “clinically silent somatotroph adenoma” was first used 2 years later in describing three women with non-suppressible serum GH concentrations with no acromegaly and positive GH staining of the excised adenoma tissue [7]. The largest previous series of clinically silent somatotroph adenomas described a total of 17 patients who had silent somatroph adenomas of which four were clinically silent [2]. These four patients had no clinical manifestations of acromegaly but did have elevated basal serum GH concentrations that did not suppress after administration of glucose.

The explanation for the lack of clinical and laboratory manifestations of some somatotroph adenomas compared with the obvious manifestations in others, even though exhibit elevated GH and/or IGF-1 concentrations, is not clear [16]. One of the possible explanations is a lesser duration of excessive GH secretion, but some reports describe these patients in whom excessive GH secretion has been properly documented for many years [11, 18]. The other explanation might be the ineffective secretion of GH or secretion of biologically inactive GH. These possibilities may explain by finding understandable elevation of serum IGF-1 in the patients with somatotrophinomas. On the other hand, in one series, due to 30% of patients with clinically silent adenomas had serum IGF-1 concentrations more than twice the upper limit of normal, the mean IGF-1 concentrations were higher in those patients categorized as classic and subtle than in those classified as clinically silent [16].

So far, some genetic alterations have been documented which causing an increase in transcription, elevated mRNA levels, and an increased synthesis of the gene product [17]. Moreover, human growth hormone genes were found to be hypomethylated in a somatotroph adenoma in contrast to nonadenomatous pituitary gland, suggesting that hypomethylation may explain the increased gene expression resulting in increased growth hormone excess found in some patients [17]. Another possibility is that the tumors produced mostly an abnormal growth hormone that lacked immunoreactivity and biologic activity. A variety of growth hormones with restricted action has been reported [18-20]. This data was not supported by in vitro studies. The adenoma cells may have secreted radioimmunoassay-able growth hormone in culture medium. The levels of growth hormone release initially were low in comparison with the endocrinologically active somatotroph adenomas. After several days, growth hormone release increased 10-fold, suggesting that growth hormone secretion may have been suppressed initially. After a week of culture, adenoma cells responded with increased growth hormone after GRH stimulation in vitro. It may be that the growth hormone mRNA translated in vivo to growth hormone synthesis in quantities necessary to significantly elevate serum growth hormone and cause acromegaly [21]. Therefore, one or multiple steps of the formation or post translational processing of the gene might have been defective; these abnormalities may explain the clinical and biochemical silence associated with silent somatotroph adenomas.

In apparently silent somatotroph adenomas serum GH was within normal range, serum IGF-1 was elevated, GH positive cells were present and the patient was slightly acromegalic [22]. In our case, unevenly distributed GH and local PRL reacting cells were demonstrated, unaccompanied by clinical manifestations of acromegaly, but only a mild IGF-1 elevation (274.9 ng/ml (48 - 225)) was present. Clinical data indicate that acromegaly develops slightly more often in males of middle age, whereas silent somatotroph adenomas are more common in females. The clinical significance of clinically nonfunctioning somatotroph adenomas is that in a certain percentage of patients, no other identifiable sellar mass is identified as a somatotroph adenoma unless just measurement of serum IGF-1 concentration.

Somatostatin receptors (SSTR) are widely distributed in various neuroendocrine cells; there are five subtypes of SSTR [23-27]. Somatostatin analogs have been shown to directly inhibit growth hormone secretion and tumorigenesis in GH-secreting pituitary tumors through SSTR-2 and/or SSTR-5 [23, 25, 26, 28]. In some reports somatostatin has an anti-proliferative effect in pancreatic cells via activation of tyrosine phosphatase through SSTR1 [26, 29, 30]. Additionally, it has an inhibitory effect on secretion of α-subunit and chromogranin from human non-functioning tumors [31]. On the other hand, its effect on cell viability of human non-functioning pituitary adenomas is unclear [32]. Following his Octreoscan which proved to be positive, our case received Octreotide for his medical treatment. However, the effectiveness of somatostatin treatment for silent somatroph adenoma is controversial. Therefore, both SSTR profile and drug efficacy definitely needs further clarification.

In summary, more work is needed to resolve the molecular occurrences responsible for the inconsistency between
the diverse morphologic criteria and endocrine activity of silent somatotroph adenomas.
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