A New Approach to Quantify Functional Improvements Following X-Stop Spacer Procedure: A Case Report
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Abstract

The purpose of this case report was to study effects of X-Stop implant surgery on the continuous spinal movement kinematics using 3D motion analysis. A proposed 3D kinematic spinal model was used to assess lumbar continuous active range of motion (AROM) during a standardized lumbar extension/flexion preoperatively and at 2 months postoperatively of a patient who underwent the X-Stop procedure. To investigate levels of muscle activities, electromyography recordings were made from right and left rectus abdominis, erector spinae and biceps femoris muscles. Also, functional mobility and patient quality of life were evaluated using the 6-minute walk test and the Swiss spinal stenosis and PROMIS-29 questionnaire. At 2 months postoperative, lumbar AROM increased by 18.5 and 14° for flexion and extension respectively and less muscle activation level was observed, despite the increase in lumbar AROM. Unlike positional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) that is a common approach to assess spinal posture in static positions, the proposed continuous-motion analysis approach is able to analyze the lumbar AROM dynamically during flexion and extension. In this case report, the results indicate that the lumbar AROM, functional mobility and quality of life have been improved following X-Stop surgical intervention.
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Introduction

With the aging US population, the number of patients suffering from progressive lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) with symptomatic neurogenic intermittent claudication (NIC) is projected to increase. Spinal stenosis is currently the most frequent reason for back surgery and the leading cause of impaired mobility in the elderly population [1, 2]. LSS is the compression of the neural elements due to the narrowing of the spinal canal that occurs in the lumbar region of the spine [3]. Symptoms associated with spinal stenosis can have a significant impact on patients’ quality of life and physical function because they may have pain with walking and static standing [4].

Most commonly these patients are limited to a choice between nonsurgical conservative care and more invasive decompressive surgical producers, such as laminectomy and/or fusions. Lumbar fusion rates are increasing rapidly especially in the geriatric population [5]. The X-Stop interspinous spacer (Medtronic, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA, Fig. 1) provides a minimally invasive, clinically beneficial, alternative therapy for the treatment of patients aged 50 or older suffering from NIC secondary to a confirmed diagnosis of LSS (with X-ray, MRI, and/or CT evidence of thickened ligamentum flavum, narrowed lateral recess and/or central canal narrowing) [6]. Previous research indicated that the X-Stop implant can be a safe alternative and garners similar treatment outcomes compared to non-operative and more invasive surgical techniques [7, 8]. The X-Stop spacer is normally used for those patients with moderately impaired physical function, experienced relief in flexion from their symptoms of leg/buttock/groin pain, with or without back pain, and undergone a regimen of at least 6 months of non-operative treatment. The X-Stop spacer may be implanted at one or two lumbar levels in patients, in whom operative treatment is indicated at no more than two levels.

Figure 1. Schematic of X-STOP device.
In a study [4], the effect of X-Stop device in both single and double levels in different positions was investigated using MRI. In the current study, for the first time the effects of X-Stop implant surgery on the continuous movement kinematics of the patient during flexion/extension have been investigated using 3D motion analysis with a proposed spinal 3D kinematic model. This approach allowed us to observe the spinal and postural motion not limited by the constrains of an MRI or an X-ray, to demonstrate the benefits of the procedure on the overall functional movements of the patient. In addition, levels of muscle activities necessary to make flexion/extension movements and the patient’s quality of life were assessed. The purpose of this project was not to highlight new indications for use but rather provide objective functional outcomes that may assist clinicians in the future in decision making and selection of patients who would gain the most benefit from this procedure.

**Case Report**

This study reports the case of a 61-year-old Caucasian female who was referred to orthopedic surgery because of chronic low back pain and more recently, nonsymmetrical leg pain particularly with ambulation. The patient’s past medical history includes restless legs syndrome, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, osteoarthritis, anxiety, depression, asthma, ankylosing spondylitis and anemia. The patient past surgical history includes right total knee arthroplasty, right rotator cuff repair, and right elbow surgery. A diagnosis of spinal stenosis and spondyloolisthesis was confirmed by X-ray and MRI in May 2013. The patient had failed conservative therapy including physical therapy, injections and medications including oxycodone, Tylenol No. 4 and Celebrex. The patient underwent an X-Stop and laminectomy procedure in June 2013. The patient was placed under general anesthesia and positioned prone. At L2-L3 level, a sizing device was used to select a 14 mm polyetheretherketone spacer, and at level L4-L5 a 10 mm polyetheretherketone spacer was inserted. In addition, a limited laminectomy was performed at the L4-L5 level. X-rays were performed postoperatively to confirm correct placement. Patient was placed in an abdominal brace for 6 weeks postoperative in which she reported adherence. Preoperative and at 2 months postoperative, flexion/extension radiographs are illustrated in Figures 2 and 3 respectively.

The patient was examined 7 days before operation and at 2 months postoperation at the Human Performance Laboratory (HPL), a cooperative facility between Eastern Maine Medical Center and University of Maine. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Eastern Maine Medical Center. The patient underwent the informed consent process and agreed to participate in the study prior to collection of study data. In order to study the effects of the minimally invasive X-Stop implant surgery on movement kinematics, lumbar active range of motion (AROM) was captured using MX40 Vicon motion capture system (VICON, Centennial, CO, USA) at the operating frequency of 100 Hz. Twenty-two reflective markers were placed on the patient on C7, T3, T5, T7, T9, T11, L1, L3, L5, S2 spinous processes, bilateral acromion process, anterior and posterior superior iliac spines, greater trochanter, later knee joint line and lateral malleolus to create a 3D image for the spine, pelvis and lower extremities. The patient performed three repetitions of lumbar flexion and extension. The flexion was defined as starting in standing posture and bending forward as if to touch the toes, then returning to neutral, and extension was described as standing then leaning backwards, with hands forward, followed by returning to the neutral posture. The patient performed the movements as far as she could until onset of pain or anatomical restriction occurred.

In addition, activation of the bilateral rectus abdominis, erector spinae and biceps femoris muscles were measured using surface electromyography Noraxon Telemyo 8 channel system (Noraxon, Inc., Scottsdale, AZ, USA) and normalized. In order to normalize the EMG signal, the patient performed isometric maximum voluntary muscle contractions (MVC) prior to completing the flexion/extension tasks. MVC of the
The rectus abdominis and the erector spinae were measured while the subject resisted seated forward trunk flexion and trunk extension respectively. The biceps femoris MVC was identified while the patient resisted knee flexion in sitting. Maximal muscle contractions were held for 3 - 5 s against the maximal manual resistance performed by a physical therapist and repeated three times. The EMG signals were captured at a sampling rate of 1,000 Hz and filtered using fourth order Butterworth band pass filter (20 - 500 Hz), rectified and smoothed using the root mean square (RMS) [9].

The Swiss spinal stenosis questionnaire [10] and PROMIS-29 questionnaire were collected to assess patient quality of life and to quantify severity of symptoms, physical function characteristics, and patient’s satisfaction after treatment in both pre- and postoperative tests. Also, a 6-minute walk test was performed to assess physical function [11, 12].

Discussion

Previous research studies have been done to evaluate the safety and efficacy of the X-Stop spinal process spacer procedure. Zucherman et al [13] treated a group of 191 patients with NIC. One hundred out of 191 patients underwent the X-Stop spinal process spacer procedure and 91 patients had non-operative therapy consisting of epidural steroid injection and physical therapy. These authors utilized the Zurich Claudication Questionnaire (ZCQ) which consists of symptom severity, physical function and patient satisfaction domains [14] to evaluate the outcomes. They reported that the X-Stop patients had significantly better outcomes in each domain based on ZCQ. They reported that at 2 years, the X-Stop patients improved by 45.4% over the mean baseline symptom severity score while the non-operative therapy group had 7.4% improvement; the mean improvement in the physical function domain was 44.3% in the X-Stop group and 0.4% in the non-operative therapy group. They also reported that the X-STOP procedure does not yield significant changes to the lumbar spine (disc height, curvatures of the spine, angulation of the spine) on radiographs [13]. In another study, Anderson et al [15] published 2-year follow-up data from 70 patients which showed statistically significant improvement in ZCQ and 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) scores in X-Stop device-treated patients. These authors reported the overall success of 63.4% in X-Stop device-treated patients in comparison with the non-operative control group of patients with only 12.9% improvement. Also a comparison between medical records and radiographs of patients with LSS treated with three different interspinous implants (X-Stop, Wallis, Diam) were reviewed by Sobottke et al [16]. Their results showed that the X-Stop implant improved the foraminal height, cross-sectional area and width more than other two implants.

Pain and symptom relief may affect functional movement patterns leading to changes in overall posturing and compensational movement patterns. To assess overall posturing and movement kinematics of the patient, the lumbar AROM was studied in this case based on the angle between third thoracic (T3) spinous process, sacrum at S2 level and the knee joint midline in the sagittal plane during a lumbar extension/flexion task. Figure 4 illustrates the measured angle in five frames of

![Figure 4. Snapshots from the spine model during flexion and angle measurement.](image)

![Figure 5. (A) Flexion angle preoperative/postoperative. (B) Extension angle preoperative/postoperative.](image)
The patient performed three repetitions of lumbar standardized flexion and the flexion angle was calculated (Fig. 5A). The flexion angle increased from 72.5±2° for the preoperative test to 91±1.5° for the 2 months postoperative test. Compared to preoperative condition, flexion AROM increased by 18.5°. The lumbar flexion angle of the patient at the postoperative test was in the range of normal and healthy subjects (94±17°) as reported by Lariviere et al in 2000 [17]. By asking the patient to preform three consecutive lumbar extension tasks the extension angle showed 14° improvement in the lumbar extension AROM at 2 months postoperative (Fig. 5B). The lumbar extension angle increased from 20±1.5° before surgery to 34±0.5° at 2 months after surgery. Also at 2 months postoperative the patient could maintain the extension posture up to 5 s without having pain which improved from the preoperative test where she could not maintain maximum extension for more than 2 s. The results highlight a significant improvement in movement kinematics of the patient.

The recruitment order of the bilateral rectus abdominis, erector spinae and biceps femoris muscles was also captured in this study. The level of muscle activation has been analyzed for lumbar standardized flexion test (Fig. 6). The EMG signal of each muscle pre- and postoperative was normalized against its MVC value within the same session. As indicated in Figure 6 less muscle activation was observed at 2 months postoperative for all muscles. The rectus abdominis muscles reached the maximum level of contraction before the maximum flexion angle and the erector spinae muscles showed low level of activation at the maximum flexion angle. This phenomenon called flexion-relaxation has been studied in healthy subjects and patients with back pain by Dieen et al in 2003 [18]. According to their study, most of healthy subjects showed complete electromyographical silence of the erector spinae during full flexion while the muscle activations of patients with back pain were higher. The results of the X-Stop patient also showed much lower level of activations of the erector spinae muscles after the surgery in comparison to pre-operation.

In order to assess patient quality of life and quantify severity of symptoms, physical function characteristics, and patient’s satisfaction after treatment the Swiss spinal stenosis and PROMIS-29 questionnaire, which include subscales for physical function, anxiety, depression, sleep disturbances, pain interference, pain intensity, fatigue, and satisfaction with social role, were used. Table 1 presents the results of the seven separate domains of quality of life assessed before and after...
surgery. Compared to preoperative, physical function (43 - 57) and satisfaction in social role (39 - 64) increased at 2 months postoperative. Pain interference (64 - 56) and pain intensity (7/10 to 6/10) decreased at 2 months postoperative. Similarly disability assessed using the Swiss spinal stenosis, disability decreased (55 - 29) at 2 months postoperative. In addition to evaluating the patient’s quality of life and movement kinematics, functional mobility was evaluated using the 6-minute walk test. Distance covered during the 6-minute walk test increased by 79 m (424 to 503 m) which demonstrates an improvement in functional mobility and endurance.

**Conclusion**

Although it is believed that the interspinous device limits the range of motion at the level of implant, for the case reported, lumbar continuous AROM (flexion and extension) increased following X-Stop surgical intervention. Also, functional mobility, and quality of life improved and less muscle activities were observed for even more lumbar AROM after surgery.
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**Table 1. The Swiss Spinal Stenosis and PROMIS-29 Questionnaire Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROMIS-29 domain</th>
<th>Pre-op t-score (SE)</th>
<th>Post-op t-score (SE)</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical function</td>
<td>43.4 (2.4)</td>
<td>56.9 (6.7)</td>
<td>Significantly improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>51.2 (3.1)</td>
<td>51.2 (3.1)</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>41.0 (6.2)</td>
<td>41.0 (6.2)</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>60.7 (2.3)</td>
<td>48.6 (2.5)</td>
<td>Significantly improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep disturbance</td>
<td>32.0 (5.2)</td>
<td>32.0 (5.2)</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with social role</td>
<td>38.8 (2.1)</td>
<td>64.1 (5.1)</td>
<td>Significantly improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain interference</td>
<td>63.8 (1.8)</td>
<td>55.6 (1.9)</td>
<td>Significantly improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain intensity</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td>6/10</td>
<td>Improved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


