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Abstract

Mixed epithelial and stromal tumor of the kidney (MESTK) is a rare kidney tumor that is composed of both epithelial and mesenchymal components with solid and cystic architecture. Up to the present, approximately 100 cases have been reported. It was introduced in the World Health Organization 2004 renal tumor classification. Here, we report a 49-year-old female with mixed epithelial and stromal tumor (MEST) in her kidney with no cystic components and no history of hormone use.
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Introduction

Mixed epithelial and stromal tumor of the kidney (MESTK) is a rare kidney tumor composed of both epithelial and mesenchymal components with solid and cystic architecture [1]. To date, approximately 100 cases have been reported [2]. It was introduced in the World Health Organization 2004 renal tumor classification [1]. Here, we report a 49-year-old female with MESTK with no cystic components and no history of hormone use.

Case Report

A 49-year-old female with a flank pain on left side for 1 year was referred to our urology clinic. She had hypertension and had an operation in the ophthalmology before. There was a history of colon cancer in her mother and renal tumor in her aunt which she did not know the exact diagnosis. On physical examination there was pain and tenderness on left lumbar region. All other findings were normal, and there was no organomegaly. On laboratory tests there was slight leukocytosis with neutrophilic predominance, WBC count was: $12.1 \times 10^3 \text{mL}$ (N: 4.0 - 10.0), Neu% 87.7 (N: 42 - 75.2). RBC and Hb were within normal limits. There was only a slight microcytic anemia, Hct: 36.0 (N: 37-47%), MCV: 75.1 (N: 80 - 100). Platelet count was normal. Urea was within normal limits, but creatinine was slightly higher than normal (1.07 mg/dL, N: 0.5 - 0.9). Electrolytes were also normal. On ultrasonographic examination size and localization of right kidney was normal. No stone or mass was detected. On left kidney, a lobulated solid mass of $26 \times 44 \text{mm}$ which was located on the middle zone, extending from renal parenchyma to renal sinus was detected. On MRI size and localization of both kidneys were normal. On coronal plane, on the contrasted, fat-saturated T1 images, $26 \times 44 \text{mm}$ lobule contoured mass was seen (Fig. 1). Mass was thought to be either a transitional cell carcinoma or an oncocytoma radio-
logically. She underwent a surgery. During surgery there was no gross pathology in ureters and urinary bladder. Simple nephrectomy was done.

On gross examination, nephrectomy material measured 13 × 6 × 5 cm. On cut surface 3.5 × 3.3 × 3 cm of white-tan colored, well defined, solitary solid mass was seen in the middle zone of kidney adjacent to pelvis. Renal parenchymal invasion was not seen grossly. The mass was composed of only solid areas, and no cystic areas were seen (Fig. 2).

On microscopic examination a spindle cell proliferation was seen. Spindle cell component was arranged in short fascicles and bundles, but there were also areas that were looking like ovarian stroma. Epithelial component was composed of small number of normal appearing tubules with round lumina which scattered throughout the spindle cell component. The spindle cell component was dominant, and only few tubules were seen (Fig. 3). No mitoses or abnormal mitoses were seen in both components. A panel of immunohistochemistry was done: SMA was diffuse positive in the spindle cell component (Fig. 4), and EMA and CK were positive in epithelial component. HMB-45, desmin and S-100 were negative in both components. Progesterone receptor was only focally positive in the spindle cell component (Fig. 5). Both components were also negative for estrogen receptor. Together with these results and morphological pattern of tumor, patient was diagnosed as MESTK.

Discussion

Mixed epithelial stromal tumor (MEST) is a rare distinctive benign tumor of kidney which is composed of mesenchymal and epithelial elements [3, 4]. It was first described in 1973 by Block et al as congenital mesoblastic nephroma [3, 4]. The term MESTK was introduced by Michal et al in 1998 [5, 6]. These tumors were diagnosed as leiomyomatous renal
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of cystic dilatation. Immunohistochemistry showed tubules scattered between the spindle cell components. Some tumors showed cystic dilatation. Immunohistochemistry was not typical as with other MESTK. Most of the reported MESTK in the literature showed ER, PR and CD10 positivity in the mesenchymal component [4, 5, 12, 13]. In our case ER was negative and PR was positive only focally. But there is also a fact that estrogen and progesterone receptor positivity are not by themselves diagnostic of MESTK [4]. Another point of conflict in our case is that, there was no history of oral contraceptive use. Hormonal factors are thought to play role in the pathogenesis of MESTK, and long term use of hormonal preparations is shown to be associated with MESTK in literature [4]. Exact pathogenesis is not clear. Also an angiomyolipoma with dominant myomatous component was taken into consideration in differential diagnosis but absence of S-100 and HMB-45 in our case showed tumor was not an angiomyolipoma.

In conclusion MESTK is a rare benign tumor that should be differentiated from other renal tumors. Radiologically it can mimic a variety of tumors for that reason it should be kept in mind in differential diagnosis of a solid tumor in a premenopausal woman. These tumors may consist of only solid areas rather than cystic-solid areas. It must be differentiated from angiomyolipomas and other stromal tumors. ER and PR positivity is not by itself diagnostic of MESTK; it should be kept in mind that these tumors can show only focal or weak positivity as in our case. These tumors generally have good prognosis but recurrence and malignant transformation of these tumors have also been reported. For that reason follow-up of these patients is recommended. A small number of cases were reported in literature as MESTK up to date. For that reason variations in morphology and immunohistochemistry are not known exactly. As number of cases increase, our knowledge about this tumor will also increase and different morphological patterns as our case will be better understood.
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