Successful Pregnancy Following Dual Triggering Concept (rhCG + GnRH Agonist) in a Patient Showing Repetitive Inmature Oocytes and Empty Follicle Syndrome: Case Report
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Abstract

After ovarian stimulation some oocytes collected for IVF cycles are arrest at the GV o MI stage at the time of retrieval despite controlled ovarian stimulation (COS) and a correct administration of hCG. However, it is unusual to have a complete failure of oocyte maturation after COS, especially in presence of normally growing follicles. Empty follicle syndrome (EFS) is a condition of uncertain etiology in which no oocytes are retrieved from apparently normally growing ovarian follicles with normal estradiol levels after ovarian stimulation for assisted reproductive techniques. In genuine EFS, dysfunction of the folliculogenesis seems to be the most plausible etiology. We report a case of primary infertility in which four consecutive IVF attempts yielded immature oocytes or EFS. Finally, at a fifth attempt the use of hCGr + GnRHa (dual triggering) for final follicular maturation resulted in a successful live birth.
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Introduction

Controlled ovarian stimulation (COS) is aimed to induce multiple follicular growth. Oocyte maturation for IVF cycles is commonly induced by hCG (as surrogate of natural LH surge). Following LH surge, the resumption of meiosis occurs about 18 hours later and achievement of second metaphase occurs within 28 to 38 hours [1]. After ovarian stimulation (and probably due to multifollicular development) some oocytes collected for IVF cycles are arrest at the GV o MI stage at the time of retrieval despite COS and a correct administration of hCG [2]. However, it is unusual to have a complete failure of oocyte maturation after COS, especially in presence of normally growing follicles.

Empty follicle syndrome (EFS) is a condition of uncertain etiology in which no oocytes are retrieved from apparently normally growing ovarian follicles with normal estradiol levels after ovarian stimulation for assisted reproductive techniques (ART). Several hypotheses as to the background of EFS after hCG triggering of final oocyte maturation in gonadotropin stimulated cycles have been summarized elsewhere recently [3]. In genuine EFS, dysfunction of the folliculogenesis seems to be the most plausible etiology [4, 5].

Since the mid-90s -following the availability of GnRH antagonist for clinical practice- the use of a bolus of GnRH agonist (GnRHa) for final follicular maturation has been explored in many clinical scenarios [6]. Among the advantages suggested for GnRHa as trigger agent are: the induction of a more physiological way of triggering resembling a natural cycle (release of LH and FSH); higher number of oocytes MII collected [7-10] and OHSS prevention. More recently, Shapiro et al described the so-called “dual trigger” which involves the triggering of final oocyte maturation using a combination of a GnRHa together with a low-dose hCG for IVF cycles [11, 12] for OHSS high risk patients under COS.

Levran et al (2002) [13] described 8 cases of oocyte maturation arrest and more recently Beck-Fruchter et al (2012) [14] described EFS treatment including a complete review of the literature. However, our group is not aware of any publication showing both anomalies: oocyte maturation and EFS occurring in the same patient as in the case described herein.

We report a case of primary infertility in which four consecutive IVF attempts yielded immature oocytes or EFS. Finally at a fifth attempt the use of hCGr + GnRHa (dual triggering) for final follicular maturation resulted in a successful live birth.

Case Report

A 37-year-old woman with a 3-year history of primary infer-
tility was referred to our IVF unit for unexplained infertility. She underwent a thorough infertility investigation without any abnormal findings identified. She was in good general health and with no family history of infertility. No history of exposure to any medications for other medical conditions during or prior IVF, she also did not have past exposure to environmental or occupational toxicants and/or excessive X-ray radiation. She had a normal female karyotype (46, XX) and normal levels of day 3 FSH (6 IU/L), LH (3 IU/L) and estradiol (28 pg/mL) levels at first IVF attempt. Her husband's sperm showed: volume 2.5 mL, count: 16 mill/mL, motility 40%, 20% of normal morphology.

The patient underwent COS according to our routine IVF program. In brief, long GnRH agonist protocol (leuproreline acetate 0.1 mg/day) (Procrin®; Abbott S.A. Madrid, Spain) followed by rFSH 200 - 250 IU/day (Gonal-F®; Merck-Serono Laboratories; A. Madrid, Spain). Ovarian response was monitored by endovaginal scanning and rhCG (Ovitrelle® Serono Laboratories; A. Madrid, Spain) was given when there were at least 2 follicles > 16 mm of maximum diameter. The serum E2 was measured on the day of hCG administration. Oocyte retrieval was scheduled 36 hours after triggering at 1st attempt, while on 2nd to 4th attempts the hCG-oocyte retrieval interval was prolonged to 40 hours. Finally, at 5th attempt, an antagonist flexible multiple dose protocol was used, starting Cetrotide®; (Serono International S.A. Geneva, Switzerland) 0.25 mg/day when the leading follicle was 14 mm in diameter; final follicular maturation was done using Ovitrelle® (Serono Laboratories; A. Madrid, Spain) plus triptoreline 0.2 mg (Decapeptyl®, IPSEN PHARMA S.A. Barcelona, Espana) via abdominal subcutaneous route at the same moment but using different zones for administration and the oocyte pick up scheduled for 36 hours later. While in the initial four attempts there were no oocytes or only MI oocytes collected, at 5th attempt 8 oocytes were retrieved. Assuming an unexplained “oocyte factor” four oocytes were striped of cumulus cells for the ICSI procedure whereas the others were inseminated using conventional IVF. Five good quality embryos [15] were obtained on day +3 (3 from ICSI and 2 from IVF); 3 embryos (1 from ICSI and 2 from IVF) were transferred under ultrasound guidance. Two surplus embryos were frozen. Luteal support was as usual using micronized progesterone 600 mg/day (Progeffik® EFFIK Laboratories, S.A. Madrid, Spain). The patient conceived and delivered healthy baby (3,700 g) at term by vaginal route.

Stimulation and laboratory finding are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

**Discussion**

Oocyte maturation arrest is a complex problem not clearly understood; only few cases showing a complete oocyte
maturation failure has been reported previously, still the exact incidence remains unknown [13, 16]. Failure to resume meiosis in vivo may arise at one of the following three levels: (1) absent or incomplete LH surge; (2) derangements in the signaling mechanisms from the surrounding cumulus cells; and (3) intrinsic oocyte factor [13]. Specifically, metaphase I arrest is suggested to occur due to (a) when collected, oocytes still did not attain the full competence to mature; (b) this arrest may result from the absence of meiotic recombination, which, under normal conditions, occurs in pachytene stage; and (c) may be in an inability of oocytes to produce the key cell cycle regulating factors [17]. EFS is also a condition of uncertain etiology. The incidence of EFS after hCG triggering in IVF patients has been estimated to be about 2-7% [18]. The so called “genuine EFS” is presumably related to intrinsic ovarian factors and an altered folliculogenesis [3-5].

In the natural cycle the responsiveness of the preovulatory follicle to gonadotropins is maximum, especially (but not only) to luteinizing hormone (LH) that triggers granulosa wall dissociation and cumulus expansion as well as oocyte nuclear maturation [19]. Nonetheless, we must remember that the oocyte itself do not respond to FSH and LH exposure [20-23] since oocytes lack gonadotrophin receptors [20, 24]; hence, the stimulation of meiotic resumption by gonadotrophins occurs via their actions on the surrounding somatic cells rather than on the oocyte itself [25]. Finally, we may assume that any failure (possibly at the biochemical level) in the signaling mechanisms controlling the interaction between cumulus cells and the oocyte may cause oocyte maturation arrest or lack of cumulus expansion/granulose cells dissociation, which -at least in animals models- correlates with the outcome of oocyte maturation [26].

Interestingly, the protocol used in cases previously reporting MI arrest was the long protocol [13]. The same happened in our case, the fourth initial attempts were conducted under the long agonist protocol. At the biochemical level, granulose lutein cells have showed a different pathway modulation of the FSH-induced kinases in agonist versus antagonist cycles [27]; hence it is logical to assume that the type of protocol may influence intrafollicular environment and oocyte maturation pathways and may contribute in some cases to abnormal oocyte development. However, other findings challenge this hypothesis, for example EFS cases are described in both agonist and antagonist protocols and also when GnRHa are used for triggering [3]. So the influence of the type of protocol to EFS or oocyte maturation arrest remains still inconclusive, but not negligible.

In the natural cycle, the resumption of oocyte meiotic maturation and ovulation process is controlled by the midcycle secretion gonadotrophins by the hypophysis; this preovulatory gonadotrophin surge is thought to be the primary stimulus by which oocyte maturation is reinitiated [13]. In vivo, this surge lasts about 48 hours. In ART a bolus of hCG is commonly used as a surrogate of the midcycle surge LH. There are, however, important differences; the half-life of hCG is much longer (days) than that of the natural cycle (hours); but, and more importantly for this case, the natural

### Table 2. Laboratory Results at 5th Cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oocytes retrieved</th>
<th>Maturation status</th>
<th>Fertilization</th>
<th>Day +3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVF</td>
<td>ICSI</td>
<td>Polar body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MII</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MII</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MII</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MII</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Embryos transferred; ²Frozen embryos; Embryo grading criteria according to ASEBIR.
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midcycle also includes a FSH peak which is known, among other functions, to promote nuclear maturation of the oocytes. In a GnRH antagonist cycle a bolus of a GnRHa can be administered, as an alternative to hCG for the induction of an endogenous mid-cycle surge (the flare up effect), consisting of both LH and FSH. It has been demonstrated that is as effective as hCG for inducing a correct final follicular maturation [6]; moreover the duration of the GnRHa surge, is closer to that of the natural cycle [28]. However, as seen in the majority of IVF cycles this FSH surge is not always needed, as hCG can efficiently act as a surrogate of LH in promoting final follicular maturation. However, we may assume that in some patients, like our case, this FSH surge may promote an adequate resumption of meiosis or optimize the signaling within cumulus cells preventing the occurrence of EFS and also inducing oocyte maturation until MII stage. Clinical evidence of this statements are provided by medical literature, for example some cases of EFS are solved by changing the trigger agent to GnRHa agonist [14, 29], furthermore, the retrieval of more mature oocytes after GnRHa triggering observed in IVF cycles supports a possible beneficial effect of the mid-cycle FSH surge on oocyte maturity [7-10].

Optimal levels of hCG for a final follicular maturation are still not defined; in the present case hCG levels were not measured, therefore we cannot exclude the possibility of false or borderline EFS. However, in view of previous bad results after hCG trigger in this patient; we may assume that a GnRHa trigger alone at fifth cycle may have resulted in a adequate oocyte maturation, but, in this case a high response was not expected so we proceed with the dual triggering concept with the knowledge that the introduction of hCG in usual doses will not suppose OHSS risk for this patient, on contrary, the addition of hCG would bring LH activity for luteal support to over count the luteolitic effect seen after GnRHa triggering, strategy that is important for a normalization of pregnancy rates after GnRHa trigger as described recently [30].

In the present case, it is likely that the reason for both EFS and meiotic arrest lie within the cumulus-oocyte complex. Among the findings supporting this hypothesis are: first of all, the repetitive nature of cycle results, on second place the diagnosis of primary infertility which seems to be a typical profile in this cases as described by Levran et al (2002) (seven out of eight of his cases presented primary infertility); also, cycle development was normal showing good follicular development as well as the observation of normal steroidogenesis the triggering day all of which represent normal LH effects, also the ovarian pick up were reported as ease procedures. This hypothesis is line with previous findings which supports the concept of dysfunctional folliculogenesis as the background of EFS in IVF patients (Castillo et al, 2012). Hence, it is possible to assume that a more physiologic induction of final follicular maturation in terms of gonadotrophin surge duration and/or the addition of natural FSH/LH activity directly from de hypophysis may optimize the signaling mechanisms from the surrounding cumulus and the oocyte, resulting -at least for this case- in adequate oocyte retrieval and maturation.

Conclusion

Although we still do not know the exact pathophysiology of these syndromes, and more research on the physiologic pathways controlling oocyte maturation is needed before the exact mechanisms of the defects interfering with meiotic competence can be determined; the favorable result in the present case gives additional idea for treatment and provides a feasible option to patients with EFS or repetitive immature oocyte syndrome, in the form of a simpler antagonist protocol combined with a more physiologic form of final follicular maturation trough a FSH plus LH surge induced by a bolus of GnRH agonist. Final conclusions should wait for the proof of the approach with more cases.
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