Abstract

Described in surgical patients after antibiotic use in the 1950s and 1960s, *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) enterocolitis is a rare form of nosocomial diarrhea. However, *S. aureus* is not routinely tested like *Clostridium difficile* (*C. difficile*). We report a case of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) enterocolitis found on stool culture in a 22-week pregnant female with a previously negative nasal MRSA culture, and a total burn surface area greater than 60%. She also developed necrotizing MRSA pneumonia and bacteremia. After starting broad-spectrum antibiotic for the necrotizing pneumonia with subsequent acute respiratory distress syndrome, the patient exhibited large voluminous diarrhea that tested positive for MRSA and negative for *C. difficile* in the stool culture. Similar to other reports of high-volume diarrhea, the diarrhea resolved quickly with enteral vancomycin. *S. aureus* should be considered along with *C. difficile* during the workup of nosocomial diarrhea, especially with exposure to broad-spectrum antibiotics in the critically ill patient.
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Introduction

Since the mid-1970s, conclusions related to the occurrence of antibiotic-associated enterocolitis (AAE) have been widely associated with *Clostridium difficile* (*C. difficile*). In these cases, patients were typically exposed to antibiotics [1]. Discovered in the 1950s, *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) was the first well known cause of AAE, but it was soon disputed after the discovery of *C. difficile*. At the time, scientists believed *S. aureus* potentially represented an unrecognized *C. difficile*-related disease instead [1-3]. While only 15-25% of today’s AAE cases are attributed to *C. difficile*, recognition of *S. aureus* as a causative agent of AAE remains rare, especially in the absence of routine testing. Despite the fact that methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) is isolated in 55% of intensive care unit patients in the USA, it is not commonly established in Western literature as a cause of AAE in critically ill patients [4]. However, cases in Australia, Japan, and France have reported MRSA as a well recognized nosocomial pathogen for AAE in hospitalized patients [5]. In this paper, we present a case of enterocolitis caused by MRSA following antibiotic treatment for a patient with necrotizing multilobar pneumonia and 60% total burn surface area (TBSA).

Case Report

A 26-year-old pregnant (22 weeks of gestation) female with amphetamine and marijuana use was presented to our Burn Center following a home explosion. This resulted in 60% TBSA second- and third-degree burn wounds to all extremities circumferentially, bilateral shoulders, neck, and abdomen. Most of the patient’s burns were second degree. The patient had a Glasgow Coma Scale of 15 upon arrival to the trauma bay. The patient was hypotensive and tachycardic and was intubated immediately to protect her airway during burn resuscitation. On admission the patient received an MRSA nasal swab that was negative; however, a subsequent MRSA nasal swab on hospital day 3 was positive, as shown in Figure 1.

On physical exam, she was edematous from resuscitation and continued to exhibit normal fetal heart tones. On hospital day 4, the patient required increasing fraction of inspired oxygen and positive end-expiratory pressure support. The patient was then transitioned to volumetric diffusive respiratory (VDR). Paralytics were required for ventilator asynchrony despite sedation and pain medication as she developed acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). On hospital day 5, the patient showed increasing levels of both lactate and white blood cells. The endotracheal aspiration culture grew MRSA, *Enterobacter*, and *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*). Additionally, it was noted that the patient was experiencing greater than two loose bowel movements requiring a rectal tube to protect the burn wounds from contamination. The patient was then started on vancomycin and piperacillin/clavulanate intravenously and eventually transitioned to a conventional ventilator.

The patient’s hospital course was complicated with an is-
chemic right foot on day 8. The etiology is likely due to the combination of shock, femoral arterial line, as well as preexisting vascular injury due to multiple surgeries in the past for clubfoot. Amputation of the foot was performed when vascular repair was not possible. During this period, the patient’s lung injury worsened and again required a VDR (Fig. 1). She continued to experience rising lactate levels with increasing diarrhea volume. On hospital day 12, blood cultures showed MRSA bacteremia. Bronchoscopy was performed and showed tracheitis with loose secretions. The mucosa distal to the carina was normal.

Due to the increasing white blood cells and bands, the team was concerned that the patient may have developed chorioamnionitis and endometritis. Therefore, she was administered gentamicin and clindamycin per obstetrics recommendations. She continued to experience rising lactate and worsening diarrhea. At this point, the team suspected pseudomembranous colitis, and metronidazole was added via enteral route. After administering the metronidazole, the patient showed little or no improvement, and stool cultures tested negative for *C. difficile* toxins A and B. The patient was unstable for sigmoidoscopy. Decubitus positioning resulted in severe hypoxia. Ultimately, a stool culture showed MRSA growth, and treatment was converted to enteral vancomycin. Watery diarrhea began to subside within 48 h of administering enteral vancomycin. At this point, the patient’s clinical course was focused on treating her ARDS. She continued to experience worsening hypoxia, requiring VDR and paralysis. Linezolid replaced intravenous vancomycin for better lung penetration. Enteral vancomycin was continued for 10 days, representation of her clinical diarrheal course is shown in Figure 1.

On hospital day 26, it was found that the patient had experienced a rupture of the maternal membrane, Fern positive, which required immediate induction of labor. The fetus was delivered with no spontaneous movements, no spontaneous breathing, and no tone. Rescue efforts were not performed by family request due to the poor prognosis. Following the delivery of the fetus, the patient’s condition began to improve. By hospital day 40 the patient showed reduced oxygenation requirements, reduced ventilator support, and improved chest X-ray as shown in Figure 2a-c. She subsequently required skin grafting and was discharged to the acute ventilator rehabilitation facility after 72 days with near complete resolution of lung opacities shown in Figure 2d. The computed tomography (CT) on hospital day 20 demonstrated diffuse bilateral infiltrates, pleural thickening and subpleural cystic changes (Fig. 2e, f) at that time.

**Discussion**

MRSA or non-MRSA is a serious nosocomial and community-acquired pathogen. Even though it is well established, there is little research to highlight its cause of enterocolitis. In the early
1950s and 1960s, *S. aureus* was thought to cause the majority of AAE and enterocolitis cases in patients with predisposing factors [3]. However, as *C. difficile* cytotoxin became implicated as the major cause of pseudomembranous colitis with routine testing, the appreciation for *S. aureus* declined [6]. Furthermore, there are many other rare causes of pseudomembranous colitis that likely go unrecognized such as *Clostridium perfringens*, *Klebsiella*, *E. coli* O157:H7, and *Salmonella enterica* (*S. enterica*) [7, 8]. While cases in other countries have reported MRSA as a well recognized nosocomial pathogen for antibiotic-associated enterocolitis in hospitalized patients, North America has seen relatively few MRSA colitis cases [5, 9]. However, this is likely due to the fact that physicians often do not order stool cultures for hospitalized patients. In addition, enteral vancomycin used empirically for *C. difficile* would have also treated *S. aureus*. With resolution of the diarrhea, clinicians would forego further studies resulting in under reporting.

Similar to *C. difficile*, the infection risk factors associated with MRSA enterocolitis include advanced age, immunosuppression, a prolonged hospital stay, previous antibiotic treatment, and previous *S. aureus* colonization [9, 10]. In this case, the large burns and subsequent profound systemic inflammatory response syndrome compromised her immune system [11]. This combination likely caused the patient to develop necrotizing MRSA pneumonia and enterocolitis. We suspect this patient was an MRSA carrier. Even though the nasal MRSA was negative on admission, current studies suggest that surveillance via the anterior nares is not sufficient to identify a patient with MRSA. Instead, either repeat testing or stool testing provides a more accurate approach [12].

This case utilized the definition of diarrhea from Boyce et al, which involves three or more loose stools, or greater than 250 mL of liquid stool, from the rectum as characterized by a rectal tube per 24 h for greater than 2 days. Additionally, nosocomial diarrhea was classified as an onset of diarrhea oc-
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curring 3 or more days after hospital admission [4]. As demonstrated in other studies, MRSA diarrhea was classified as MRSA growth on stool culture, with absent or scant normal aerobic gram-negative enteric flora, ova, and parasites, negative C. difficile toxin in stool, and no possible attribution to medication [2, 4]. Our patient met the criteria for nosocomial diarrhea with initial stool cultures that showed MRSA growth with no other pathogens.

As noted in existing literature, stool leukocytes are often negative in cases of S. aureus enterocolitis and tests are typically not ordered [10]. We suspect that stool leukocytes are often diluted in cases of high-volume diarrhea and do not serve as an accurate method of screening for S. aureus enterocolitis. When the patient initially developed large-volume diarrhea, we elected to only order a S. aureus stool culture and not stool leukocytes. The clinical presentation along with the negative C. difficile toxin antigens prompted us to treat the patient empirically using enteral vancomycin. Similar to other studies, we treated the patient with 250 mg of enteral vancomycin every 6 h for 10 days [1, 12]. Within the first 48 h the patient showed clinical improvement with reduced watery diarrhea. Rapid response and positive MRSA stool culture strongly suggest S. aureus as the causative agent, especially when other treatments failed.

Conclusions

Hospital-acquired diarrhea is unfortunately a common occurrence that is not always caused by C. difficile. Although C. difficile is one of the most common infectious causes of antibiotic-associated diarrhea, S. aureus is a clinically relevant and under-diagnosed etiology. While our patient’s enterocolitis was from MRSA, it is important to note that the literature reports that non-MRSA can also cause enterocolitis [13]. The treatment is the same. Like our patient, the literature reports similar response to enteral vancomycin with improvement within 48 h. Enterocolitis in the critically ill is life-threatening. Other causes of high-volume diarrhea should be sought in symptomatic patients who test negative for C. difficile toxins.
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