Case Report

Not a Routine Case of Recurrent Cellulitis: Well’s Syndrome
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Abstract

When a 28-year-old man with suspected recurrent right forearm cellulitis did not respond to anti-microbial therapy, a dermatological consultation with cutaneous biopsy was obtained. Histopathology along with correlation of his clinical course confirmed a diagnosis of Well’s syndrome (eosinophilic cellulitis) and he was successfully treated with oral anti-histamines and topical corticosteroids. This case emphasizes the importance of consideration for non-infectious etiologies of recurrent cellulitic lesions that do not respond to typical anti-microbial therapy.
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Introduction

Eosinophilic cellulitis, also known as Wells’ syndrome, is a recurrent granulomatous dermatitis associated with peripheral eosinophilia [1]. This rare cutaneous condition appears cellular, lacks systemic involvement, does not respond to antibiotics, requires a high degree of clinical suspicion to diagnose, and is managed most effectively with oral corticosteroids. With the increasing threat of antibiotic resistance and importance of antimicrobial stewardship, it is imperative to correctly differentiate cellulitis from non-infectious cutaneous conditions, employ a comprehensive differential diagnosis for erythematous plaques, and understand when to perform a skin biopsy to aid with diagnosis. We present a case of Well’s syndrome in a patient admitted to our observation unit for “recurrent cellulitis”.

Case Report

Presentation

A 28-year-old man presented with suspected recurrent right forearm cellulitis. The patient had had four episodes of pruritic, edematous, erythematous plaques on the arms and neck over the past 3 months. Each episode lasted for 7 - 10 days and was in a different location. He was treated successfully with antibiotics, but this episode developed while on clindamycin. He had a history of HIV managed with daily emtricitabine, tenofovir, and rilpivirine. His recent CD4 count was 1,204 cells/mm³ with an undetectable viral load. He was started on IV cefazolin in the emergency department and admitted to the observation unit.

Assessment and diagnosis

On admission, he had a temperature of 36.8 °C, blood pressure of 134/77 mm Hg, heart rate of 96 beats per minute, respiratory rate of 15 breaths per minute, and an oxygen saturation of 96% on room air. On his right forearm and inner upper arm, there were several warm erythematous slightly edematous plaques (Fig. 1a). Laboratory analysis was significant for a white blood cell count of 15,200/uL with 80.4% neutrophils and 5% eosinophils. As the patient’s rash did not improve on antibiotics, dermatology was consulted and a biopsy was performed. Histopathology revealed perivascular and interstitial infiltrate with numerous eosinophils and neutrophils, and prominent superficial papillary dermal edema suggesting a diagnosis of Well’s syndrome (eosinophilic cellulitis) (Fig. 1b). The patient was successfully treated with anti-histamines and topical corticosteroids.

Discussion

When a physician is challenged with a case of cellulitis that does not respond to conventional antibiotic therapy, non-infectious etiologies must be considered. After a thorough history, physical, and diagnostic workup has been completed, skin biopsy with histopathology, culture, and direct immunofluorescence may be the only diagnostic option left to secure a diagnosis. In our case, we considered arthropod assault, acute febrile neutrophilic dermatosis, contact dermatitis, Wells’ syndrome, dermal hypersensitivity reaction, urticaria, erythema migrans, toxin-mediated erythema, cellulitis, and drug reactions. Thrombophlebitis, gouty arthritis, familial Mediterranean fever, panniculitis, toxic erythema of chemotherapy, angioedema, and foreign-body reactions represent diseases that commonly masquerade as infectious cellulitis as well [2].

Eosinophilic cellulitis, also known as Wells’ syndrome, was first described in 1971 by Dr. Wells as a recurrent grani-
Granulomatous dermatitis with eosinophilia [1]. This rare cutaneous condition lacks systemic involvement, does not respond to antibiotics, requires a high degree of clinical suspicion to diagnose, and presents with peripheral eosinophilia in only about 50% of cases [3]. Cutaneous findings appear cellulitic and most typically present with erythematous plaques preceded by pruritis, but blisters, bullae, or nodules may also be seen. Resolution of the lesions occurs in 2 - 8 weeks and may result in hyperpigmentation and skin atrophy resembling morphea [4]. Acute histology reveals tissue edema and a dermal eosinophilic infiltration in a perivascular pattern, while subacute to chronic histology reveals the classic “flame figures” composed of bundles of collagen coated with eosinophils [5]. As flame figures have been associated with other conditions such as Churg-Strauss, spider bites, parasitic infections, follicular mucinosis, and herpes gestationis, a clinical-pathologic approach is required to confirm the diagnosis. Well’s syndrome may be associated with infectious diseases, arthropod assault, malignancy, immunologic disorders, thimerosal-containing vaccines, or medications, but a specific etiology and pathogenesis has not been determined. A literature review of 32 cases of idiopathic eosinophilic cellulitis in 2012 concluded that oral steroids achieved the highest rate of resolution and were 92% effective. In these patients, oral prednisone was typically given 2 mg/kg for 1 week and then tapered over 2 - 3 weeks. Topical steroids and oral anti-histamines were less effective. As recurrence occurs in up to half of cases, treatment of the underlying associated condition (if determined) is imperative, and alternated day low dose prednisone may be indicated.
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