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Abstract

A 55 year old man had uneventful simultaneous LASIK. Seven months postoperatively, the patient came with complaints of a decrease in vision, photophobia, tearing, discomfort, and red eye during the last 2 weeks in the left eye. Suspicion was foreign body hit. Despite steroid treatment, the clinical outcome didn’t improve. Eight months postoperatively, slit-lamp examination revealed thinning and scarring in the center of the flap in the central cornea. The left eye’s best corrected visual acuity was 20/32. The patient underwent a surgical procedure of trephining of the scarred flap and using Mitomycin C 0.02%. At the final examination after 18 months the BCVA was 20/25. This is the first report on late central corneal scarring after LASIK. Trephination of the scarred flap can restore visual acuity.
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Introduction

Laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) is performed worldwide with increasing frequency, for the correction of myopia, hyperopia and astigmatism. The vast increase in the number of LASIK procedures causes different complications by themselves. Reports show postoperative LASIK complication rates from 0.9% to 7.2% [1-4]. Postoperative complications such as dislocated, wrinkled or distorted flaps, epithelial defects, epithelial ingrowth and inflammatory reactions are relatively well known. In contrast to photorefractive keratectomy (PRK) central corneal opacities are rarely seen after LASIK procedure. In this report we describe a case with late central corneal scarring after LASIK.

Case Report

A 55 year old man was evaluated for laser correction of mixed myopic astigmatism. The preoperative best spectacle-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 6/6 in both eyes with manifest refractions of +0.50 - 1.75 × 78 in the right eye and +0.25 - 1.75 × 70 in the left eye. Keratometry was 44.70 × 112/43.94 D × 22 in the right eye and 44.58 × 0/44.52 D × 90 in the left eye. The corneal topography was within normal limits in both eyes. Ultrasonic central corneal pachymetry was 570 mm in the right eye and 565 mm in the left eye. No abnormalities were observed during a slit-lamp examination.

In September 4, 2003 the patient underwent bilateral LASIK (JFP) using a Technolase 217-z excimer laser (Bausch and Lomb, Irvine, CA) and 6.0 mm optical zone treatment. Flap cut was performed with a Hansatome microkeratome (Bausch and Lomb Miami, FL) using the 160 μm head and 9.5 mm suction ring. The total ablation depth was 37 μm in the right eye and 34 μm in the left eye. The flap was well positioned in both eyes with a clean interface. After repositioning of the flap, a soft contact lens was placed on the eye. The postoperative regimen included topical levofloxacin, 4 times a day for one week, and topical prednisolone acetate, 4 times a day for two weeks. The surgical procedure and the postoperative course were uneventful and unremarkable.

In the first postoperative month, the uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) was 6/5 in the right eye and 6/6 in the left eye. Slit-lamp examination revealed a well-healed flap with clear interface in both eyes. The patient returned 7 months after LASIK with complaints of a decrease in vision, photophobia, tearing, discomfort, and red eye during the last 2 weeks in the left eye. He
suspected that he had a foreign body on his eye. His UCVA was 6/6.6 in the left eye. Slit-lamp examination showed a central flap swelling and opacification in the left eye. The epithelium was intact. The patient received drops of Dexamethasone phosphate 0.1% and Ofloxacin QID.

The subepithelial haze got worse. Eight months postoperative, the left eye UCVA was 6/12 and it improved to 6/9 with a refraction of plano/-0.50 × 25. Slit-lamp examination revealed thinning and scarring in the center of the flap in the central cornea; and corneal topography showed irregularity in the left eye (Fig. 1).

After informed consent was obtained, the patient underwent surgical procedure. Under topical anesthesia, the centre of the scarred flap was trephined using 4 mm trephine and cut with scissors. Mitomycin C (MMC) 0.02% was applied for 1 minute. (Fig. 2). Debrided tissue was sent for histological evaluation. A bandage soft contact lens was placed on the eye and patient received topical Dexamethasone phosphate and Gentamicin.

Postoperatively, the UCVA in the left eye remained 6/12 but patient did not have photophobia. Boimicroscopy revealed haze in the central cornea.

The histological examination of the excised flap revealed subepithelial scarring and the Bowmans layer was missing (Fig. 3).

At the final examination after 18 months the UCVA was 6/9 improving to 6/7.5 with -0.25/1.00 × 180 and corneal topography improved. There was superficial corneal haze in the central 3 mm of the cornea (Fig. 4). The patient has mild glare at night time and didn’t want additional enhancement.

**Discussion**

Subepithelial corneal scarring is one of the complications of PRK [5]. Scarring after PRK has been associated with greater amounts of treatment, smaller ablation zones, male gender, ablations deeper than 50 μm, and early discontinuation of topical steroids [6]. Corneal haze after PRK gradually develops at the epithelial-stromal junction: starting one week postoperatively, peaking within 3 months (mean grading, 1.03), and declines slowly thereafter [6].
The most important advantage of LASIK over PRK is the lack of corneal haze [7]. Haze after LASIK rarely occurs. Histopatologic studies of corneal healing in rabbits show that the wound-healing reaction occurs at the flap margins, leaving the central corneal zone clear after LASIK [8]. Similar results have been described in human eyes [9, 10]. The epithelium and Bowman’s layer are not affected at the center of cornea, so, therefore, leads to clear visual axis.

Late onset significant haze and scarring in the flap were observed in our case. Late onset corneal haze (LOCH) is described after PRK with incidence of 0.6-4% [11-13]. LOCH after PRK usually appears 4 months after surgery with peaked mean time of 7.4 months [12]. It causes visual impairment and topographical abnormalities and correlates with the amount of attempted correction and UV radiation exposure [13-15].

Keratinocytes, fibroblast growth factor and transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) regulate the corneal stromal wound-healing process after excimer laser keratectomy [16]. It has been shown that the increased expression of TGF-β and TGF-β receptors in keratocytes play a role in the activation and proliferation of keratocytes and extracellular matrix production, leading to fibroblast transformation and haze formation after the excimer laser keratectomy [17].

Minna Vesaluoma et al [18] found that after LASIK treatment keratocyte activation was greatest on the third postoperative day and that after 6 months the mean density anterior layer of flap keratocytes was decreased. On the other hand Takuji Kato et al [19] showed that wound healing process continues in rabbit’s corneas even 9 month after LASIK.

Keratocyte activation induced by LASIK was of short duration compared with that reported after photorefractive keratectomy [18]. Stromal wound healing process after LASIK is minimal, except in the wound margin [20].

Cases of haze after epithelial abrasion in post-LASIK surgery have been described [21, 22]. Significant haze which affects the vision developed after flap manipulation with epithelial debridement for treatment of post LASIK striae [23]. Post LASIK corneal trauma and foreign body removal induce DLK. Late-onset interface inflammation post-traumatic flap injury after LASIK is associated with neutrophils in tear fluid [24]. Epithelial damage releases more cytokines and induces kerocyte apoptosis and myofibroblast activation after refractive procedures [25]. The intact epithelium plays an important role in preventing subepithelial haze and differentiation of myofibroblasts in corneal wound healing [26]. These studies indicate that ocular trauma may induce significant inflammation.

Cases of stromal haze were reported 1 to 9 months after LASIK surgery or retreatment [27-30]. However, 55.6% of eyes had previous diffuse lamellar keratopathy (DLK) on the first postoperative day and no patient had lost lines of BCVA or complained of reduced vision [27]. Severe haze was reported after LASIK in cases with previous PRK and vice versa [28-30].

In our case severe haze was observed 7 months after LASIK treatment, probably due to trauma to the flap by foreign body. The patient showed up at the clinic, 2 weeks after the trauma. The patient didn’t receive any treatment during this time. It is possible that patient had marked intrastromal inflammation, diffuse lamellar keratitis (DLK) like syndrome which caused marked activation of stromal...
keratocytes and lead to a process which could not be stopped by late treatment with topical steroids. The flap became thinner and the haze denser despite the use of topical steroids. UCVA decreased to 6/12. Central flap was excised and MMC 0.02% was used. After the partial flap excision the patient stopped complaining of photophobia, tearing and discomfort. The UCVA was 6/9 improvement to 6/7.5 due to irregular astigmatism and moderate haze that developed in the stroma during the following months.

We assume that in our case ocular surface trauma caused severe inflammatory process which induced flap scar formation. Despite the fact that we removed the flap we still ended up with some degree of stromal scarring. However this scarring is easier to explain as the Bowman membrane was missing. Although we lost 1 - 2 lines of BCVA, there was a clinically cessation of photophobia, tearing and discomfort and the patient gained improved vision. It is questionable whether excising all the flap could provide better vision as it could diminish the extent of the postoperative astigmatism. There is a further potential to improve the vision in our patient by doing PRK enhancement or removal of the rest of flap.

In conclusion we describe a case of late onset corneal scarring after LASIK treatment which most probably due to susceptible foreign body trauma.
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